

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	PPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED IN		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/812,247	03/29/2004	Henry Krol	579-001 2198	
75	90 12/20/2005	EXAMINER		
John G. Chupa	1	THOMPSON, HUGH B		
Law Offices of John Chupa and Associates, P.C. Suite 50			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
28535 Orchard	Lake Rd.	3634		
Farmington Hill	s, MI 48334	DATE MAILED: 12/20/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/812,24	7	KROL, HENRY				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Hugh B. Th	nompson II	3634				
The MAILING Period for Reply	DATE of this communication ap	opears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	ddress			
WHICHEVER IS LON - Extensions of time may be after SIX (6) MONTHS from - If NO period for reply is spe - Failure to reply within the significant of the significan	ATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP NGER, FROM THE MAILING I available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 neter the mailing date of this communication. edified above, the maximum statutory period et or extended period for reply will, by statu- office later than three months after the mail- ment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF TH .136(a). In no eve d will apply and wil tte, cause the appli	IS COMMUNICATION ont, however, may a reply be tim expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cation to become ABANDONED	l. ely filed the mailing date of this c O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1) Responsive to	communication(s) filed on 29	March 2004.			•			
2a) ☐ This action is F	· · · · —	is action is no	on-final.					
3) Since this appl	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accor	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-19</u> is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and</u>	2 is/are rejected.							
· · · · —	7) Claim(s) <u>3-10</u> is/are objected to.							
8)[_] Claim(s)	_ are subject to restriction and	or election re	equirement.					
Application Papers								
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
1. Certified								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
•								
Attachment(s)			_					
1) Notice of References Ci			4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
	Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0	8)	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/812,247

Art Unit: 3634

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. With respect to claim 1, it appears that the hook portions are coupled to the pillars and the pillars to the platform. Note claim 11.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Zakhi #3,865,431, Brown #3,231,043, or Valentino #4,222,136. Zakhi discloses a platform assembly comprised of hollow hook portions 54, 56, pillar portions 76, 78, arm portion 100, grip portion 112, 114, and platform assembly 20, 30, 34, 46.

Brown discloses a platform assembly comprised of hollow hook portions 22, pillar portions 24, arm portions 30, grip portions 31, 32, and platform assembly 14.

Valentino discloses a platform assembly comprised of hollow hook portions 18, pillar portions 13, arm portions 21, grip portions 20, and platform assembly 11.

Application/Control Number: 10/812,247 Page 3

Art Unit: 3634

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 11-19 are allowed.

Claims 3-10 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The primary reason for the allowable subject matter of claim 3 and allowance of claim 11, is the inclusion of vertical and angled pillars both disposed within the cavities of the hook portions, the pillars secured to the platform. The prior art of record fails to teach or suggest the claimed features absent the applicant's own disclosure.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Nicholson #6,767,023, Dudley #4,947,961, McCafferty #4,911,264, Miller #4,907,674, and Hoy #4,495,883 are cited to teach step and hook assemblies.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hugh B. Thompson II whose telephone number is (571) 272-6837. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday 9 am to 5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Chilcot can be reached on (571) 272-6777. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/812,247 Page 4

Art Unit: 3634

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Hugh B. Thompson II
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3634

December 12, 2005